Financial Statements and Reports Required by Governmental Auditing Standards and OMB Circular A-133

For the Year Ended September 30, 2009

REPORTS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS AND OMB CIRCULAR A-133

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
Introductory Section	
Independent Auditor's Report on Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1
Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Year Ended September 30, 2009	2 - 3
Notes to the Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	4
Independent Auditor's Report on:	
Compliance and Other Matters and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	5 - 6
Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	7 - 8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9 - 10



21 1st Avenue NW P.O. Box 1010 Le Mars, IA 51031 Phone (712) 546-7801 Fax (712) 546-6543

Independent Auditor's Report on Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The Honorable Mayor, City Council and City

Administrator of the City of Norfolk, Nebraska:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Norfolk, Nebraska, (the City) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on the financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements of the City taken as a whole. The accompanying supplementary schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Williams & Company, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

March 29, 2010

Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Year Ended September 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Exp	enditures
Direct Financial Assistance:				
U.S Department of Health and Human Services				
Community Based Abstinence Education	93.010	90AE0130/01	\$	377,442
U.S. Department of Justice				
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607			1,625
Indicat Cinamial Assistance				
Indirect Financial Assistance:				
U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development	nia Davida			
Pass-Through the Nebraska Department of Econor		•		070 040
Community Development Block Grant	14.228	06-ED-007		276,918
Community Development Block Grant		07-CR-007		15,000
Community Development Block Grant		08-CR-007		1,980
Community Development Block Grant	14.228	09-CR-007		100
				293,998
U.S. Department of Justice Pass-Through the Nebraska Crime Commission: Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	16.579 16.579	07-DA-303 08-DA-301		15,167 25,052
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	16.579	08-DA-3001		6,214
				46,433
U.S. Department of Transportation Pass-Through the Nebraska Office of Highway Safe Alcohol Traffic Safety and Drunk Driving	•			
Prevention Incentive Grants	20.601			1,616
Alcohol Traffic Safety and Drunk Driving	00.004	474 DMM (0005		40.040
Prevention Incentive Grants	20.601	471-DMV-3905		13,013
				14,629
Pass-Through the Nebraska Department of Roads: Highway Planning and Construction	20.205		<u> </u>	215,949
Pass-Through the NE Emergency Management Age Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector	ency:			
Training and Planning Grants	20.703		\$	467

(Continued)

Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards - Continued

For the Year Ended September 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Ti	Federal CFDA itle Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Pass-Through the Nebraska Department of Hum Elkhorn Logan Valley Health Department: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention -	an Services	and the	
Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283		\$ 4,000
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Pass-Through the Nebraska Emergency Manage Emergency Management Performance Grants Emergency Management Performance Grants	ment Agenc 97.042 97.042	y: 2007-EM-E7-0081 2008-EM-E8-0032	8,595 31,878 40,473
Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044	EMW-2008-FO-08537	70,082
Homeland Security Grant Program Homeland Security Grant Program Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067 97.067 97.067	2005-GE-T5-0020 2006-GE-T6-0016 2007-GE-T7-0035	15,498 219,979 9,393 244,870
Total Federal Award Expenditures			\$ 1,309,968

Notes to the Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended September 30, 2009

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation - The accompanying supplementary schedule of expenditures of federal awards has been prepared on the cash basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recognized when paid. Expenditures of federal funds are not accumulated in the accounting records by funding source.

Reporting Entity - The reporting entity for the supplementary schedule of expenditures of federal awards is the City of Norfolk, a municipal corporation governed by an eight member Council and an elected Mayor.

2. Pass-Through Awards

The City receives federal awards in the form of pass-through awards from the State of Nebraska. Such amounts received as pass-through awards are specifically identified on the supplementary schedule of expenditures of federal awards.



21 1st Avenue NW P.O. Box 1010 Le Mars, IA 51031 Phone (712) 546-7801 Fax (712) 546-6543

Independent Auditors'

Report on Compliance and Other Matters and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

The Honorable Mayor, City Council and City

Administrator of the City of Norfolk, Nebraska:

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Norfolk, Nebraska (the City) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of the control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report

financial data reliably in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood a misstatement of the City's financial statements that is more than

inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control. We consider the

deficiency in internal control described in Part II of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and

Questioned Costs as item II-A-09 to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies that results in

more than a remote likelihood a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or

detected by the City's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described

in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal

control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all

significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that

the significant deficiency described above is not a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters:

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of

material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws,

regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and

material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on

compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express

such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to

be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

The City's response to findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of

Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit the City's responses and, accordingly, we express

no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the City Council,

management and others within the City of Norfolk, Nebraska, and federal awarding agencies and pass-

through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified

parties.

Williams & Company, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

March 29, 2010

6



21 1st Avenue NW P.O. Box 1010 Le Mars, IA 51031 Phone (712) 546-7801 Fax (712) 546-6543

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

The Honorable Mayor, City Council and City

Administrator of the City of Norfolk, Nebraska:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Norfolk, Nebraska (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2009. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in a material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2009.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over

compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over

compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program

in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance,

but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance.

Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over

compliance.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of

a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned

functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program

on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies,

that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a

remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is

more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in

more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a

federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first

paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might

be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control

over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the City Council,

management and others within the City of Norfolk, Nebraska, and federal awarding agencies and pass-

through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified

parties.

Williams & Company, P.C.

March 29, 2010

8

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Year Ended September 30, 2009

I. Summary of Auditor's Results

- A. An unqualified opinion was issued on the financial statements.
- B. A significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting was disclosed by the audit of the financial statements.
- C. No noncompliance which is material to the financial statements was disclosed.
- D. No significant deficiencies in internal control over major programs were disclosed.
- E. An unqualified opinion was issued on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program.
- F. The audit disclosed no audit findings which were required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 section .510(a).
- G. Major program: Community Based Abstinence Education

 Community Development Block Grant

CFDA #93.010

CFDA #14.228

- H. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$300,000.
- I. The Auditee did not qualify as a low-risk auditee under Section .530 of OMB Circular A-133.
- II. <u>Findings Related to the Financial Statements Reported in Accordance with Government Auditing</u>
 Standards

Significant Deficiency:

II-A-09 Financial Reporting

<u>Observation</u> - During the audit, we identified immaterial amounts of contract payables and capital asset additions not recorded in the City's financial statements. Adjustments were subsequently made by the City to properly record these amounts in the financial statements.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Year Ended September 30, 2009

<u>Recommendation</u> - The City should review their procedures to ensure all contract payables and capital asset additions are identified and included in the City's financial statements.

Response - We will double check these in the future to avoid any missing payables or other items.

<u>Conclusion</u> - Response accepted.

- III. <u>Findings and Questioned Costs Relating to Federal Awards</u> None.
- IV. <u>Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings</u> There were no prior year audit findings.